



Express Mail No. EV335856689US

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of: Friend et al.

Confirmation No.: 3869

Application No.: 09 220,142

Group Art Unit: 1631

Filed: December 23, 1998

Examiner: A. Marschel

For: METHODS OF CHARACTERI-  
ZING DRUG ACTIVITIES USING  
CONSENSUS PROFILES

Attorney Docket No.: 9301-035-999

STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Pursuant to MPEP section 713.04, Applicants submit the following statement of the substance of a telephonic interview held on July 25, 2003 between Primary Examiner Ardin Marschel and Applicants' representative Weining Wang (on behalf of Adriane M. Antler) in connection with the above-identified application.

Examiner Marschel informed Applicants' representative Weining Wang that the Supplemental Amendment filed on July 24, 2003 had been considered and entered, and that the Supplemental Amendment had overcome the rejections and objections in the Advisory Action mailed on June 10, 2003. As such, the Notice of Appeal filed on December 27, 2002 has been dismissed. No further action by Applicants was necessary at this time in order to keep the application pending at this time. Examiner Marschel also stated that he was considering whether a rejection of claims 14, 22, 47, 61, 92 and 119 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-19 of U.S. Patent 6,203,987 was warranted.

RE  
AUG 29 2003  
TECH CENTER 1600/2900

Applicants respectfully request that the above statement be made of record in the above-identified application.

Date: August 25, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

*Adriane M. Antler*

Reg No. 31,232

*By G. Antler Law Firm*

32,605

Adriane M. Antler

(Reg. No.)

**PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP**

1155 Avenue of the Americas

New York, New York 10036-2711

(212) 790-9090